

A Reinterpretation of Stimulated Emission as Spontaneous Emission Under Non Thermodynamic Equilibrium Conditions

Randy Wayne*

*Laboratory of Natural Philosophy, Section of Plant Biology, School of Integrative Plant Science,
Cornell University, Ithaca, NY USA*

In 1916, Einstein postulated the existence of induced emission (*einstrahlung*), where a quantum of radiation from a light beam induced a molecule in an excited state to emit a quantum of radiation of the same frequency. The stimulating photon was unchanged by the ghostly interaction and the net effect of induced emission, which is now commonly known as stimulated emission, was indistinguishable from spontaneous emission (*ausstrahlung*). Here I show that the existence of stimulated emission, postulated by Einstein, is an artifact of his use of the Boltzmann distribution for continuous energy states. Here I show that with the use of Planck's distribution for discontinuous energy states, stimulated emission becomes superfluous. By using the quantum-theoretical distribution, it becomes clear that at thermodynamic equilibrium, the emission of all quanta of radiation are indistinguishable from the spontaneous emission of quanta.

When molecules where the lower energy state and excited states are in thermodynamic equilibrium are subject to an intense light composed of radiation quanta of the appropriate frequency, the molecules enter a non-equilibrium state. In the non-equilibrium state, the population of energy states becomes inverted such that there are more excited states than lower energy states. Such a distribution is thermodynamically equivalent to a negative temperature state. In response to the incident light, the molecules spontaneously emit radiation quanta with the same frequency as the incident quanta. The transformation between incident radiant energy and emitted radiant energy is a consequence of the first and second laws of thermodynamics. There is no need to postulate a photon that induces the response, unchanged by the interaction.

1. Introduction

In 1916, in order to give Planck's blackbody radiation law a more quantum-theoretical foundation than an electromagnetic foundation, Einstein [1] derived Planck's blackbody radiation law using Bohr's model of the atom applied to molecules, instead of using an electromagnetic-mechanical analysis. Einstein considered the molecule, not as an electromagnetic-mechanical resonator as Planck did, but as a quantized system that had two possible quantum states Z_n and Z_m that were characterized by energies ε_n and ε_m , ($\varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_m$). Einstein related the mean energy of the molecule, a function of temperature, to the energy density of the radiation and the frequency of radiation. The transition from Z_n to Z_m resulted from the absorption of a quantum of energy ($h\nu_{nm}$) and the transition from Z_m to Z_n resulted from the emission of the same quantum of energy.

Using Boltzmann's principle, Einstein determined that the relative number (N_n) of molecules that were in lower energy state Z_n is given by:

$$N_n = p_n e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_n}{kT}} \quad (1)$$

Where, k is Boltzmann's constant, T is the absolute temperature and p_n is the statistical weight of state Z_n . Similarly, the relative number (N_m) of molecules in the excited state Z_m is given by:

$$N_m = p_m e^{-\frac{\varepsilon_m}{kT}} \quad (2)$$

Where, p_m is the statistical weight of state Z_m . At thermodynamic equilibrium, the ratio of N_n to N_m is given by:

$$\frac{N_n}{N_m} = \frac{p_n}{p_m} e^{\frac{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_n}{kT}} \quad (3)$$

At thermodynamic equilibrium, the number of transitions from Z_n to Z_m that involve the absorption of a quantum of radiation is equal to the number of transitions from Z_m to Z_n that involve an emission of a quantum of radiation. The number of transitions per unit time that involve an emission of a quantum of radiation is given by $A_m^n N_m$, where N_m is the number of molecules in state Z_m and A_m^n is a constant that characterizes the spontaneous transition from Z_m to Z_n . Such emission is

*row1@cornell.edu

independent of the incident radiation and takes place spontaneously.

Einstein considered the incident radiation to affect both the induced emission of a quantum of energy as a molecule goes from Z_m to Z_n and the induced absorption of a quantum of energy as a molecule goes from Z_n to Z_m . The number of transitions per unit time that involve an induced emission is given by $B_m^n N_m \rho_\nu$, where B_m^n is a constant that characterizes the induced transition from Z_m to Z_n , N_m is the number of molecules in state Z_m , and ρ_ν is the energy density of the effective frequency (ν_{nm}) of the resultant radiation. The number of transitions per unit time that involved an induced absorption is given by $B_n^m N_n \rho_\nu$, where ρ_ν is the energy density of the effective frequency (ν_{nm}), N_n is the number of molecules in state Z_n , and B_n^m is a constant that characterizes the induced transition from Z_n to Z_m .

At thermodynamic equilibrium:

$$A_m^n N_m + B_m^n N_m \rho_\nu = B_n^m N_n \rho_\nu \quad (4)$$

Combine Eqn. (3) with Eqn. (4) to get:

$$A_m^n N_m + B_m^n N_m \rho_\nu = B_n^m N_m \frac{p_n}{p_m} e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} \rho_\nu \quad (5)$$

Cancel N_m , rearrange, and factor out ρ_ν to get:

$$A_m^n p_m = \rho_\nu (B_n^m p_n e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - B_m^n p_m) \quad (6)$$

The L.H.S. is a finite expression. ρ_ν and the R.H.S. would go to infinity if the temperature approached infinity unless the expression in the parentheses went to zero. In Einstein's development, he postulated that the spectral energy density must approach infinity with increasing temperature ($\rho_\nu \rightarrow \infty$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$), and thus

$$B_n^m p_n = B_m^n p_m \quad (7)$$

Of course the accuracy of Eqn. (7) depends on how the terms that contain ρ_ν and T behave as they approach infinity. Substitute Eqn. (7) into Eqn. (6) to get:

$$A_m^n p_m = \rho_\nu (B_m^n p_m e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - B_m^n p_m) \quad (8)$$

Factor out $B_m^n p_m$ to get:

$$A_m^n p_m = \rho_\nu B_m^n p_m (e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - 1) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\rho_\nu = \frac{\frac{A_m^n}{B_m^n}}{(e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - 1)} \quad (10)$$

which gives Planck's blackbody radiation law when

$$\frac{A_m^n}{B_m^n} = \frac{8\pi h \nu^3}{c^2} \quad (11)$$

That is, the ratio of the Einstein's A and B constants of spontaneous and stimulated emission is equal to the temperature-independent prefactor in Planck's blackbody radiation law.

Einstein [2,3] provided additional support for Eqn. (11) by considering conservation of linear momentum. In order for the analysis to be free of contradictions, at thermodynamic equilibrium, the linear momentum transferred to the molecules by the collision of photons must result in a stable Maxwellian distribution of velocities. The mean kinetic energy ($\frac{p^2}{2m} = \frac{1}{2} m v^2$) per molecule per degree of freedom in a blackbody radiation field of temperature T must be equal to $\frac{1}{2} kT$ and the distribution must be independent of both the absorbed or emitted frequencies and the chemical nature of the molecule.

Einstein showed that the distribution would remain constant at thermodynamic equilibrium if the induced absorption resulted in a transfer of linear momentum to the molecule in the direction of the incident light, the stimulated emission resulted in a transfer of linear momentum antiparallel to the direction of the incident light, and the spontaneous emission of energy quanta were equally probable for all directions. Based on these assumptions, Einstein [2] obtained Eqn. (11). Einstein's A and B coefficients, along with stimulated emission became a part of quantum theory [4-9], and textbooks of modern physics [10-14] and quantum electronics [15]; yet the question remains: how can a photon that induces stimulated emission remain unchanged as a result of the interaction? I now show that it is unnecessary to postulate such a ghostly interaction. The concept of stimulated emission is superfluous.

2. Results

Einstein [2] was led to the idea of stimulated emission "from a desire to postulate in the simplest manner the quantum-theoretical behavior of molecules in a manner analogous to the classical theory of Planck's resonator". Notice, however, that Einstein's [1] derivation was not free from

classical foundations. He used the Boltzmann principle for continuous distributions of energy. Here I derive the temperature-independent prefactor for Planck’s blackbody radiation law using Planck’s distribution formula for quantized distributions. By building on a completely quantum-theoretical foundation, we discover that the concept of induced emission is superfluous. If we postulate that induced emission does not occur, at thermodynamic equilibrium we get:

$$A_m^n N_m = B_n^m N_n \rho_\nu \tag{12}$$

And if we postulate further that the ratio of the quantized states is not given by the Boltzmann distribution, which yields Eqn. (3), but by the Planck distribution, we get the following equation:

$$\frac{N_n}{N_m} = \frac{p_n}{p_m} \left(e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - 1 \right) \tag{13}$$

Substituting Eqn. (13) into Eqn. (12), we get:

$$A_m^n N_m = B_n^m N_m \frac{p_n}{p_m} \left(e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - 1 \right) \rho_\nu \tag{14}$$

After cancelling N_m , we get:

$$A_m^n = B_n^m \frac{p_n}{p_m} \left(e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - 1 \right) \rho_\nu \tag{15}$$

Solving for the spectral energy density (ρ_ν), we get:

$$\rho_\nu = \frac{\frac{A_m^n p_m}{B_n^m p_n}}{\left(e^{\frac{\epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}{kT}} - 1 \right)} \tag{16}$$

which gives Planck’s blackbody radiation law if

$$\frac{A_m^n p_m}{B_n^m p_n} = \frac{8\pi h \nu^3}{c^2} \tag{17}$$

At thermodynamic equilibrium, the statistical weight of states Z_n and Z_m are equal, $\frac{p_m}{p_n} = 1$, and the temperature-independent prefactor of Planck’s blackbody radiation law is given by the ratio of the spontaneous emission constant A_m^n to the induced absorption constant B_n^m :

$$\frac{A_m^n}{B_n^m} = \frac{8\pi h \nu^3}{c^2} \tag{18}$$

We arrive at Planck’s blackbody radiation law from Bohr’s model of the atom without the need to postulate the existence of spontaneous emission.

This is an extraordinary result given that Hawkes and Latimer [16] wrote in *Lasers: Theory and Practice*, “The development of the laser has been one of the great triumphs of science in the twentieth century. The foundations were laid by Einstein in 1917, who pointed out that the equation proposed by Planck to describe the spectral distribution of light emitted from a black body could be derived quite simply by assuming the existence of a hitherto-unknown type of light-emission process which has since become known as stimulated emission”.

3. Discussion

LASER is an acronym that stands for **L**ight **A**mplification by **S**timulated **E**mission of **R**adiation [17-19]. *Laser*, which is based on visible waves of light, was used in analogy to *maser*, which stood for **M**icrowave **A**mplification by **S**timulated **E**mission of **R**adiation. Arthur Schawlow considered the acronym *loser*, to emphasize oscillation over amplification [19]. Acronyms considered for instruments based on waves outside the visible spectrum included, *iraser*, for infrared, *gaser* for gamma rays and *raser* for radio waves [20]. According to Basov [21], in semiconductor lasers, “under the influence of a quantum, an electron may be transferred from the conduction band to a vacant place (hole) on the valent band. Such a transfer will be accompanied by the emission of a light quantum identical in frequency, direction of propagation and polarization to the quantum which produced the emission. This process is connected with an increase of the field energy and is called stimulated emission. We recall that stimulated emission was discovered by A. Einstein in 1917 during an investigation of thermodynamical equilibrium between radiation fields and atoms”.

As a biophysical plant cell biologist [22,23], well versed in Einstein’s Photochemical Law of Equivalence and the first and second laws of thermodynamics, I know that any photon that initiates a biological process ranging from photosynthesis to vision, must be affected by the interaction. In order to remove the inconsistency between the biological observations and the concept of stimulated emission, I went back to the original papers by Einstein [1-3], and found the point at which the concept of stimulated emission was introduced. By using Planck’s distribution function for discontinuous states instead of Boltzmann’s distribution function for continuous states, I have been able to show that the concept of

stimulated emission, is not merely a “*Kunststück*” [24], but completely unnecessary. I used Planck’s distribution function, used to describe the discontinuous distribution of bosons, not Fermi’s distribution function, used to describe the discontinuous distribution of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particles on energy levels. Perhaps, in light of the results presented here, Planck’s distribution function can be interpreted to describe not only the distribution of photons but also the distribution of electrons and their associated holes. I note further that this view is also consistent with my interpretation of the photon as being a boson composed of two fermions [25].

If spontaneous emission does not happen and there is no amplification of the energy output relative to the energy input, how does the laser work? Laser action can be interpreted to result from the intense excitation of molecules from thermodynamic equilibrium to a non thermodynamic equilibrium state. The input of energy by optical pumping or an electrical potential causes the electrons to transition from the lower energy state to the excited state according to the First Law of Thermodynamics *sans* amplification. In fact, consistent with the Second Law of Thermodynamics, in a laser, much of the energy is lost in the transformation of the input energy to the useful output energy. The intense excitation results in a population inversion elsewhere characterized by a negative absolute temperature [21,26-30]. Since the inverted population of molecules in the laser are in an environment characterized by a positive temperature, they simply are not in thermodynamic equilibrium with that environment. Consequently, the molecules return to thermodynamic equilibrium by emitting light with a frequency dependent on the transition from the excited state to the lower energy state. The radiant energy out is related to the input energy through the first and second laws of thermodynamics. There is no amplification resulting from a ghostly interaction between an input photon and the photons emitted by stimulated emission. Again, this is an extraordinary result since Milonni and Eberly [31] wrote in *Lasers*, “*The word laser is an acronym for the most significant feature of laser action: light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation. There are many different kinds of laser, but they all share a crucial element: each contains material capable of amplifying radiation. This material is called the gain medium, because radiation gains energy passing through it. The physical principle responsible for this amplification*

is called stimulated emission, and was discovered by Albert Einstein in 1916”.

The spontaneously emitted radiation quanta are coherent, monochromatic and collimated. The coherence, monochromaticity, and collimation results in part from the choice of a lasing material with the correct chemico-spectral properties, and from optical engineering design principles that include using highly-reflecting end walls separated by a prescribed distance [30], and a small angular aperture [32]. While the concept of stimulated emission gave impetus for the invention of lasers [21,24,33] and laser spectroscopy [34,35], it is not necessary to explain the action of lasers. The thermodynamic explanation given here has the advantage of not requiring a ghostly interaction of photons that can cause a transformation without being changed themselves.

I have previously interpreted the temperature-independent prefactor of Planck’s blackbody radiation law in terms of the volume of the photon [36] and interpreted the sign of the prefactor in terms of matter and antimatter [37]. Here I also show that the prefactor can also be interpreted as proportional to the ratio of spontaneous emission to induced absorption.

Laser light is important in almost every facet of human endeavor [20,38], and unsurprisingly, laser light is instrumental in basic research done by biophysical cell biologists and others to visualize cells and tissues with confocal [39,40] and multiphoton microscopy [41]; to determine the mechanical properties of cytoplasm [42]; to measure the force of organelles [43,44], and the force of adhesion between them [45]; to measure the force of cellular motors [46,47]; to perform microsurgery [48,49] and microdissection [50]; to isolate organelles [51]; to measure the speed of intracellular flow [52]; to localize and activate cellular photoreceptors [53,54]; to determine the mechanism of energy transfer in photosynthesis [55]; to determine the DNA content of nuclei [56]; to sequence DNA [57]; to measure the diffusion of molecules [58,59]; and to visualize molecules with super-resolution [60-62]. Here I show that laser light is understandable, not in terms of stimulated emission, but in terms of the first and second laws of thermodynamics and the spontaneous emission of photons from an inverted population. According to the results presented here, in laser light, there is no stimulated emission and no amplification, which makes the acronym LASER, a misacronym. The fundamental principle of laser action is not stimulated emission but population inversion due to optical pumping or an electrical potential.

Population inversion [20,63,64] was the key to inventing the laser.

In their Nobel lectures, Basov [21] Prochorov [24] and Townes [33] considered light sources that produce light by stimulated emission to be fundamentally different from light sources such as sunlight, filament lamps, luminescent lamps, whose light is emitted by spontaneous emission. Here I have shown that light emitted by lasers is readily viewed as a result of spontaneous emission, which puts laser light in the same category as sunlight, candlelight, and light produced by bioluminescent organisms such as fireflies and glowworms.

References

- [1] A. Einstein, "Emission and absorption of radiation in quantum theory", in: *The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein*, Vol.6 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997) p.212 (1916).
- [2] A. Einstein, "Quantum theory of radiation", in: *The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein*, Vol.6 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997) p.220 (1916).
- [3] A. Einstein, *Physikalische Zeitschrift* **18**, 121 (1917).
- [4] A. Einstein and P. Ehrenfest, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **19**, 301 (1923).
- [5] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* **A114**, 243 (1927).
- [6] W. Heitler, *The Quantum Theory of Radiation*, second edition (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1944) p.105.
- [7] W. E. Lamb and R. C. Retherford, *Phys. Rev.* **79**, 549 (1950).
- [8] O. Stenzel, *The Physics of Thin Film Optical Spectra. An Introduction* (Springer, Berlin, 2005).
- [9] R. C. Toman, *Phys. Rev.* **23**, 693 (1924).
- [10] R. L. Liboff, *Introductory Quantum Mechanics* (Holden-Day, Oakland, CA, 1980) p.585.
- [11] R. Loudon, *The Quantum Theory of Light*, second edition (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1983).
- [12] R. Wolfson and J. M. Pasachoff, *Physics Extended with Modern Physics*. (HarperCollins Publishers, 1990) p.1097.
- [13] R. A. Serway, C. J. Moses and C. A. Moyer, *Modern Physics*, third edition. (Thomson, Brooks/Cole, Australia, 2005) p.447.
- [14] D. J. Griffiths, *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, second edition (Pearson/Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2005) p.350.
- [15] H. G. Unger, *Introduction to Quantum Electronics*. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1970).
- [16] J. Hawkes and I. Latimer, *Lasers: Theory and Practice* (Prentice Hall, New York, 1995) p.1.
- [17] G. Gould, The LASER, Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. In: *The Ann Arbor Conference on Optical Pumping* at the University of Michigan, 15 June through 18 June 1959. P. Franken and R. Sands, Eds. (University of Michigan Library, Ann Arbor, MI, 1959) p.128.
- [18] W. E. Lamb, W. P. Schleich, M. O. Scully and C. H. Townes, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **71**, S263 (1999).
- [19] S. Chu and C. H. Townes, Arthur Schawlow. 1921-1999. *Biological Memoirs*. Vol.83 (National Academies Press, Washington, D.C., 2003).
- [20] C. H. Townes, *How the Laser Happened. Adventures of a Scientist*. (Oxford University Press, New York, 1999).
- [21] N. G. Basov, "Semiconductor lasers", Nobel Lecture, 11 December (1964).
- [22] R. Wayne, *Plant Cell Biology: From Astronomy to Zoology* (Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2009).
- [23] R. Wayne, *Light and Video Microscopy*, second edition (Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2014).
- [24] A. M. Prochorov, "Quantum electronics", Nobel Lecture, 11 December (1964).
- [25] R. Wayne, "Nature of light from the perspective of a biologist. What is a photon?", in: *Handbook of Photosynthesis*, third edition, M. Pessarakli, Ed. (CRC Press, Boca Raton, in press).
- [26] N. F. Ramsey, *Phys. Rev.* **103**, 20 (1956).
- [27] A. Javan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **3**, 87 (1959).
- [28] T. H. Maiman, *Nature* **187**, 493 (1960).
- [29] E. M. Purcell and R. V. Pound, *Phys. Rev.* **79**, 549 (1960).
- [30] N. Taylor, *Laser. The Inventor, The Nobel Laureate, and the Thirty Year Patent War*. (Simon & Schuster, New York, 2000).
- [31] P. W. Milonni and J. H. Eberly, *Lasers* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988) p.1.
- [32] A. L. Schawlow and C. H. Townes, *Phys. Rev.* **112**, 1940 (1958).
- [33] C. H. Townes, "Production of coherent radiation by atoms and molecules", Nobel Lecture, 11 December (1964).

- [34] N. Bloembergen, “Nonlinear optics and spectroscopy”, Nobel Lecture, 8 December (1981).
- [35] A. L. Schawlow, “Spectroscopy in a new light”, Nobel Lecture, 8 December (1981).
- [36] R. Wayne, Turkish J. Phys. **38**, 17 (2014).
- [37] R. Wayne, Turkish J. Phys. **39**, in press (2015).
<http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/physics/lastIssue.htm>
- [38] American Institute of Physics, *Bright Idea: The First Lasers*. (LaserFest by the Center for the History of Physics, 2015).
<https://www.aip.org/history/exhibits/laser/sections/>
- [39] P. K. Hepler and B. E. S. Gunning, *Protoplasma* **201**, 121 (1998).
- [40] X. Qu, C. R. Prerana and A. Roeder, *Plant Physiology* **166**, 1877 (2014).
- [41] W. Denk, J. H. Strickler and W. W. Webb, *Science* **248**, 73 (1990).
- [42] A. Ashkin and J. M. Dziedzic, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **86**, 7914 (1989).
- [43] A. Ashkin, K. Schuetze, J. M. Dziedzic, U. Euteneuer and M. Schliwa, *Nature* **348**, 346 (1990).
- [44] D. G. Grier, *Nature* **424**, 810 (2003).
- [45] K. Oikawa, S. Matsunaga, S. Mano, M. Kondo, K. Yamada, M. Hayashi, T. Kagawa, A. Kadota, W. Sakamoto, S. Higashi, M. Watanabe, T. Mitsui, A. Shigemasa, T. Iino, Y. Hosokawa and M. Nishimura, *Nature Plants* **1**, 15035 (2015).
- [46] J. T. Finer, R. M. Simmons and J. A. Spudich, *Nature* **368**, 113 (1994).
- [47] K. Svoboda and S. M. Block, *Cell* **77**, 773 (1994).
- [48] M. W. Berns, J. Aist, J. Edwards, K. Strahs, J. Girton, P. McNeill et al., *Science* **213**, 505 (1981).
- [49] C. J. Bayles, J. R. Aist and M. W. Berns, *Experimental Mycology* **17**, 191 (1993).
- [50] M. H. Frank and M. J. Scanlon, *The Plant Journal* **83**, 743 (2015).
- [51] T. Kuroiwa, K. Ishibashi, H. Takano, T. Higashiyama, N. Sasaki, Y. Nishimura and S. Matsunaga, *Protoplasma* **194**, 274 (1996).
- [52] K. H. Langley, R. W. Piddington, D. Ross and D. B. Sattelle, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*. **444**, 893 (1976).
- [53] M. Delbrück, A. Katzir and D. Presti, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **73**, 1969 (1976).
- [54] K. Josef, J. Saranak and K. W. Foster, *Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton* **61**, 97 (2005).
- [55] P. D. Laible, W. Zipfel and T. G. Owens, *Biophysical Journal* **66**, 844 (1994).
- [56] M. P. Staves and J. W. La Claire II, *J. Phycology* **21**, 68 (2004).
- [57] I. Braslavsky, B. Hebert, E. Kartalov and S. R. Quake. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100**, 3960 (2003).
- [58] D. Axelrod, D. E. Koppel, J. Schlessinger, E. Elson and W. W. Webb, *Biophysical J.* **16**, 1055 (1976).
- [59] K. Luby-Phelps, D. L. Taylor and F. Lanni, *J. Cell Biology* **102**, 2015 (1986).
- [60] E. Betzig, “Single molecules, cells, and super-resolution optics”, Nobel Lecture, 8 December (2014).
- [61] S. W. Hell, “Nanoscopy with focused light”, Nobel Lecture, 8 December (2014).
- [62] W. E. Moerner, “Single-molecule spectroscopy, imaging, and photocontrol: Foundations for super-resolution microscopy”, Nobel Lecture, 8 December (2014).
- [63] C. H. Townes, *Nature* **432**, 153 (2004).
- [64] C. H. Townes, *Making Waves*. (American Institute of Physics Press, Woodbury, NY, 1995).

Received: 5 November, 2015

Accepted: 23 March, 2016